HOT Sub-gray mitq. 3 mar ob

T1 ITB Phase 1 Status

- •Background: Phase 1"Proof of Concept" Field Test conducted McNary U9 Dec 14-16 05
- Limited range tested, but results promising
- Similar results obtained whether in AGC or local unit control
- Continuous monitoring of unit to identify "steadystate" operation and when to log data
- Interfaces with GDACS
- Numerous fixes & improvements identified
- Once corrected, "unattended and automatic" collection of data possible

T1 ITB Phase 1 Update

- · Parallel test @ IH conducted Feb '06
- Results virtually identical to those obtained using COE data acq system
- No system crashes
- Data logging fix implemented
- · Ready for "unattended, automated" data collection
- For Kaplan units this limited to 2 days data collection, after which no blade perturbation occurs
- · For Francis units, longer duration feasible
- Shortcomings:
 - Flexibility of system very limited (cant readily add x-ducers, channels not currently sent to GDACS)
 - Blade perturbation issue for Kaplan units
 - Potential security hurdle?

Type 1 ITB Phase 2

- Demonstration project, multiple units: Francis Site, DWO
 - Procure additional GDACS ITB specific to DWO
 - Ideally abs flow output into GDACS before testing
 - Unattended, automatic operation for extended period no blade perturbation req'd.
- · Demonstration project, multiple units: Kaplan Site, LGR 4-6
 - Resolve GDACS/ITB communication issue
 - Use existing prototype ITB + additional procured ITB

T1 Future Work

- W-K Rel Flow Signal into GDACS
 - X-ducers w/ automated flushing system on each unit
 - 97 Kaplan & 27 Francis installations
 - E&D FY06
 - Implementation FY07 & FY08
 - Walla Walla District FY07
 - Portland & Seattle District FY08
- Develop new discharge tables